#### Payment System in a Theory a Banking

#### Zehao Liu<sup>1</sup> Haiyang Tu<sup>1</sup> Chengsi Zhang<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Finance, Renmin University of China

Discussant: Yu Yi

November 9, 2024

# Two Examples of the Payment Systems

### Net Settlement System

- Banks to settle payments on a net basis.
- Chains of failures.

### Real-time Gross Settlement System

- > Settling interbank payments on an individual order basis across the books of a central bank.
- Insulate an individual payee from systemic risk
- Requires many times more central bank liquidity

## This Paper

### Diamond and Dybvig (1983)

- Maturity structure of bank assets.
- ► A theory of liquidity preference.
- Banks as insurance providers against liquidity shocks.
  - Depositors withdraw in need of liquidity
- Self-fulling runs by depositors.

# This Paper on Diamond and Dybvig (1983)

- > Payments can be settled down with deposits. withdrawal is NOT necessary.
  - Payment system: real-time gross settlement system.
  - Sequential liquidity needs
- Imperfect bank competition.

## Key Mechanism

- ▶ Individual bank deposit: *d*.
- ► Total bank deposit: *D*.
- Liquidity shock:  $\eta C$ .

Without the payment system, the bank holds liquidity

 $\eta C$ 

With the payment system, the bank holds liquidity

 $\eta C \frac{D-d}{D}$ 

### Model Predictions



Conventional wisdom: aggregate deposit increases with the number of banks (red line)

- ▶ This paper:  $N \uparrow \Rightarrow \frac{d}{D} = \frac{1}{N} \downarrow \Rightarrow$  liquidity need  $\eta C \frac{D-d}{D} \uparrow \Rightarrow$  Asset return  $\downarrow \Rightarrow r^d \downarrow \Rightarrow D \downarrow$
- Banking sector is imperfectly competitive even with free entry.



- In all scenarios,  $N^{Y*} < N^{SW*} < N^{D*}$ .
- > An increase of N may reduce output due to a lower probability of intrabank transfers.
- ▶ Welfare encompasses both output and the utility derived from perishable goods (liquidity).
- When deposit provision is insensitive to changes in market scale, policymaker should reduce bank concentration.

# Comment One: Banking Solution in the Benchmark is NOT Efficient?

# In Diamond and Dybvig (1983)

Banking solution is constrained efficient.

## Deposit Contract NOT State Contingent

- What is depositors' payoff when they withdraw at period 1?
- Optimally, it should be less than  $r^d$ ?

#### Why Do Buyers Always Withdraw All Their Deposits?

- Assuming this leads to over-accumulation of liquidity.
- The amount of perishable goods is given  $(1 \eta) \times 1$ .
- Buyers can use return from investment opportunities to purchase perishable goods.
- What is the price of these perishable goods?
- Liquidity needs not necessarily increases with deposits.

# Comment Two: Bank Risk-taking

### Banks' Risk-taking Motives

- ▶ In the paper: banks always prepare enough liquidity to fulfill all of their payment obligations.
- But the worst thing for banks is to earn nothing (limited liability).
- Banks choose the amount of liquidity to maximize expected profit, given they are unsure of the sequence of liquidity demand.
- Trade-off: higher default risk V.S. lower asset return.
- Does this sequential settlement leads to higher prob. of bank default?
- Uncertainty on the sequence of payment is another source of inefficiency?

# Self-fulling Bank Runs

- ▶ In Diamond and Dybvig (1983), banks are subject to runs.
- Payment systems allows banks to hold less liquidity.
- Does it lead to higher prob. of self-fulling run because of this?
- Again, what does the deposit contract look like when there is a bank run?

- As a starting point, it would be good to compare welfare with and without the payment system.
- Interaction with (intra-day) monetary policy.
- ▶ I guess the marginal return of outside option I(w) should be increasing and concave?

### Conclusion

- Interesting and intuitive idea!
- > Beautiful and neat model of payment system, liquidity, and bank competition.
- A very nice paper! I enjoyed reading it and learned a lot!

Good luck with publication.